be devoid of any intrinsic stereochemistry, in some cases stereochemistry can be enforced by conventional methods. In this context, substrate 10, with a conformationally locked six-membered ring, gave essentially complete equatorial incorporation of the allyl unit upon reaction with allyltri-*n*-butylstannane, and mannose derivative 12 was found to yield exclusively the product expected from approach of allyltri-*n*-butylstannane to the least hindered face of the intermediate radical. The lyxose derivative 14 likewise affords a single allylated product (as a mixture of anomers) whose stereochemistry has not yet been rigorously confirmed. More detailed investigations of factors controlling stereochemistry, as well as applications in natural product synthesis, are in progress and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. Support of this research by the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health (through Grant No. GM-28961) is gratefully acknowledged. Funds for the VG Micromass 7070 mass spectrometer used in this work were provided by the National Science Foundation and the University of Utah Institutional Funds Committee.

Enzymatic Cyclization of Geranyl Pyrophosphate to Bornyl Pyrophosphate. Role of the Pyrophosphate Moiety

David E. Cane*1 and Akio Saito

Department of Chemistry, Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02912

Rodney Croteau,* John Shaskus, and Mark Felton

Institute of Biological Chemistry and Biochemistry/Biophysics Program Washington State University Pullman, Washington 99164 Received June 11, 1982

Within the last several years cell-free investigations of the biosynthesis of both monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes have led to a clearer understanding of the mechanisms by which the acyclic precursors geranyl and farnesyl pyrophosphate are converted to cyclic metabolites.² As a result, a coherent picture has begun to emerge for the critical cyclization processes³ that lie at the heart of terpenoid biogenetic theory.⁴ Of particular importance has been the recent demonstration that an enzyme system from Salvia officinalis (sage) catalyzes the conversion of geranyl pyrophosphate (1) to *d*-bornyl pyrophosphate (2).⁵ The recognition that the enzymatic cyclization product is itself a pyrophosphate ester provides a unique opportunity to examine experimentally the role of the pyrophosphate moiety in the isomerization-cyclization process. We report below oxygen-18 labeling studies that establish a remarkably tight coupling of the pyrophosphate and terpenoid partners that exists within the enzyme active site and results in an essentially complete lack of positional isotope exchange⁶ of the pyrophosphate ester oxygen atom during the conversion of geranyl to bornyl pyrophosphate.

Scheme I



Table I. Conversion of $[1^{-3}H_2, \alpha^{-32}P]$ Geranyl Pyrophosphate and $[1^{-3}H_2, \beta^{-32}]$ Geranyl Pyrophosphate to *d*- and *l*-Bornyl Pyrophosphate and Determination of the ³H/³²P Ratio of the Derived Monophosphate^{*a*}

³ H/ ³² P				
geranyl pyrophosphate ^b	d-bornyl phosphate (sage)	<i>l</i> -bornyl phosphate (tansy)		
$[1^{-3}H_{2},\alpha^{-32}P]$ -, 9.4 ^c $[1^{-3}H_{2},\beta^{-32}P]$ -, 10.5 ^d	9.5 522	9.6 482		

^a A minimum of 3.5×10^{s} dpm of ³H were recovered in each product, of which 25% was counted. Data are half-life corrected. ^b The location of the ³²P in each substrate was verified by enzymatic hydrolysis to the corresponding monophosphate with apyrase (Del Campo, G.; Puente, J.; Valenzuela, M. A.; Cori, O. *Biochem. J.* 1977, 167, 525) and by hydrogenation (Jacobson, H. I.; Griffin, M. J.; Jensen, E. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 2068), and subsequent acid hydrolysis to 3,7-dimethyloctyl phosphate, followed by purification of these products by TLC. ^c Specific activity 150 Ci ³H/mol. ^d Specific activity 300 Ci ³H/mol.

A working model for the formation of *d*-bornyl pyrophosphate from geranyl pyrophosphate, consistent with available experimental data^{25,7} and based on closely related chemical models,⁸ is illustrated in Scheme I. According to this mechanism, geranyl pyrophosphate (1) is first isomerized to its tertiary allylic isomer, linalyl pyrophosphate (3).⁹ Ionization of the cisoid conformer of 3 and electrophilic attack on the 6,7-double bond would generate a transient α -terpinyl cation (4). Subsequent electrophilic attack on the newly formed cyclohexene double bond and capture of the resultant carbocation by the pyrophosphate anion generate bornyl pyrophosphate with the observed stereochemistry. During the course of these reasonable, but as yet hypothetical, transformations the inorganic pyrophosphate moiety released by the ionization of the geranyl substrate may become more or less free of its cationic

⁽¹⁾ Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, 1978-1982; National Institutes of Health Research Career Development Award, 1978-1983.

⁽²⁾ For recent comprehensive reviews of monoterpene and sesquiterpene biosynthesis, respectively, see: (a) Croteau, R. In "Biosynthesis of Isoprenoid Compounds"; Porter, J. W., Spurgeon, S. L., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1981; pp 225-282. (b) Cane, D. E. *Ibid.*, pp 283-374.
(3) For a recent review see: Cane, D. E. *Tetrahedron* 1980, 36, 1109.
(4) (b) Purities and the set of the se

⁽³⁾ For a recent review see: Cane, D. E. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 1109.
(4) (a) Ruzicka, L. Pure Appl. Chem. 1963, 6, 493; Proc. Chem. Soc.
1959, 7, 82. (b) Hendrickson, J. B. Tetrahedron 1959, 7, 82. (c) Parker, W.; Roberts, J. S.; Ramage, R. O. Rev. Chem. Soc. 1967, 21, 331.

<sup>Roberts, J. S.; Ramage, R. Q. Rev. Chem. Soc. 1967, 21, 331.
(5) Croteau, R.; Karp, F. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1979, 198, 512; 1977, 184, 77. Croteau, R.; Karp, F. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1976, 72, 440.</sup>

⁽⁶⁾ Rose, I. A. Adv. Enzymol. 1979, 50, 361.

^{(7) (}a) Croteau, R.; Felton, M. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1981, 207, 460.
Gambliel, H.; Croteau, R. J. Biol. Chem. 1982, 257, 2335. (b) Cane, D. E.;
Swanson, S.; Murthy, P. P. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2136. (c) Suga,
T.; Shishibori, T.; Morinaka, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 167.
(d) Gotfredsen, S. E. Diss. ETH Zurich No. 6243, described in ref 2b and
in ref 3, pp 1150–1151. (e) Arigoni, D. Pure Appl. Chem. 1975, 41, 219. (f)
Anastasis, P.; Freer, I.; Gilmore, C.; Mackie, H.; Overton, K.; Swanson, S.
J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 268.
(a) Gotfredsen, S. E. Disserberg, L. B., Arigoni, D. Chimie 1977, 21, 60.

^{(8) (}a) Gotfredsen, S.; Obrecht, J. P.; Arigoni, D. Chimia 1977, 31, 62. Weinstein, S.; Valkanas, G.; Wilcox, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2286. Stephan, K. J. Prakt. Chem. 1898, 58, 109. (b) Poulter, C. D.; King, C.-H. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1420, 1422.

⁽⁹⁾ Linalyl pyrophosphate itself has been shown to serve as a substrate for bornyl pyrophosphate synthetase. Cf. ref 2a and 12.

Table II. Conversion of [1-18O,8,9-14C]Geranyl Pyrophosphate to d-Bornyl Pyrophosphate by Sage Enzyme (A) and l-Bornyl Pyrophosphate by Tansy Enzyme (B)

expt	geranyl pyrophosphate	recovered geraniol	bornyl benzoate ^a
$\overline{A(^{14}C, dpm/\mu mol)}$	7.92×10^{5b}	$7.3 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{5 c}$	$d-, (5.7 \pm 0.3)$ × 10 ⁵
A (¹⁸ O %) B (¹⁸ O %)	67.9 ± 0.4^{d} 68.2 ± 4.4^{d}	$67.9 \pm 2.5^{g,h}, \\ 68.9 \pm 5.3^{g,i}$	d-, 52.4 ± 1.4 ^e , d -, 63.8 ± 2.8 ^e

^a Obtained by benzoylation of crude terpene alcohol extract and purification by HPLC. ^b Determined on the derived geranyl benzoate. ^c Geraniol benzoate obtained by benzoylation of crude terpene extract and purification by HPLC. d Determined on geraniol obtained by phosphatase hydrolysis of geranyl pyrophosphate. ^e Determined on parent peak cluster, m/e 260:258. ^f Similar values were obtained by measurement of the *P*-benzoyl fragments at m/e 153 and 155. ^g Geranyl Me₃Si obtained by hydrolysis and silulation of HPLC-purified geranyl benzoate derived from crude terpene alcohol extract. ^h Based on parent peak cluster, m/e 228:226. i Based on P-15 cluster, m/e 213:211.

partner, depending on the precise course of the reaction.

Proof that the two ends of the pyrophosphate moiety do not become equivalent during the formation of bornyl pyrophosphate was provided by labeling each phosphorus atom. Samples of $[1-{}^{3}\dot{H}_{2},\alpha-{}^{32}P]$ - and $[1-{}^{3}\dot{H}_{2},\beta-{}^{32}P]$ geranyl pyrophosphate¹⁰ were separately incubated with phosphatase-free preparations¹¹ of dand *l*-bornyl pyrophosphate synthetases, obtained from Salvia officinalis (sage)⁵ and Tanacetum vulgare (tansy),¹² respectively, and the resulting bornyl pyrophosphate was isolated and hydrolyzed to bornyl phosphate. As summarized in Table I, both the d- and l-bornyl phosphates derived from $[1-{}^{3}H_{2},\alpha-{}^{32}P]$ geranyl pyrophosphate exhibited unchanged ${}^{3}H/{}^{32}P$ ratios, whereas bornyl phosphate from β -³²P-labeled precursor contained less than 2% of the original ^{32}P label.

Further insight into the role of the pyrophosphate moiety required the preparation of ¹⁸O-labeled substrates. To this end [1-18O]geraniol,¹³ mixed with a small quantity of [8,9-14C]geraniol¹⁵ as internal standard, was converted to [1-¹⁸O,8,9-¹⁴C]geranyl pyrophosphate (67.9% ¹⁸O atom) by standard methods.^{10b,16,17} The specific activity $(7.92 \times 10^5 \text{ dpm}/\mu\text{mol})$ of this sample was determined by analysis of the corresponding HPLC-purified geranyl benzoate.

For preparative-scale experiments with *d*-bornyl pyrophosphate synthetase, 105000g supernatants from whole leaf homogenates of S. officinalis were prepared as previously described,⁵ treated with XAD-4 polystyrene resin to remove endogenous terpenoids,¹⁸ and then dialyzed before use against 5 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.2, containing 5% sucrose, 5×10^{-4} M dithioerythritol, and 10 mM MgCl₂. Aliquots (1.0 mL, containing ca. 1 mg of protein)





were incubated in screw-capped vials at 30 °C for 3 h with 3.5 × 10^{-5} M [1-¹⁸O,8,9-¹⁴C]geranyl pyrophosphate. At the end of the incubation period borneol and geraniol released from the corresponding pyrophosphates by endogenous phosphatases were extracted with redistilled pentane, and the concentrated extract was benzoylated. A control experiment¹⁹ confirmed that hydrolysis of bornyl pyrophosphate takes place, as expected,²⁰ with P-O bond cleavage, thereby demonstrating that the alcoholic oxygens of recovered geraniol and borneol correspond to the ester oxygen of their respective pyrophosphate derivatives.

A total of 3.88 µmol of [1-18O,8,9-14C] geranyl pyrophosphate was processed over a period of several weeks by a series of such incubations. The combined crude terpene benzoates, containing ca. 0.2 μ mol *d*-bornyl benzoate, were separated and purified by HPLC. The identity of the purified bornyl benzoate was confirmed by 250-MHz ¹H NMR analysis of an 11-µg sample. As summarized in Table II, the recovered d-[2-¹⁸O,8,9-¹⁴C] bornyl benzoate contains 52.4 \pm 1.4% ¹⁸O based on mass spectrometric measurement of the molecular ion peaks. This figure corresponds to $72 \pm 3\%$ ¹⁸O if corrected for endogenous dilution which has lowered the ¹⁴C specific activity of recovered bornyl benzoate. Since complete equilibration of the three proximal oxygen atoms would have reduced the original ¹⁸O enrichment of 67.9% to a value of 22.6% at C-2 of borneol, it is clear that the isomerization-cyclization of [1-¹⁸O]geranyl pyrophosphate involves essentially no positional oxygen isotope exchange.

The availability of *l*-bornyl pyrophosphate synthetase from tansy¹² provided an unusual opportunity to corroborate the results obtained with the sage enzyme in a system which converts the same precursor, geranyl pyrophosphate, to a product of identical structure but opposite enantiomeric configuration. Accordingly a second sample of [1-18O,8,9-14C]geranyl pyrophosphate (68.2% ¹⁸O) was incubated in lots of $3.5 \times 10^{-2} \,\mu$ mol with 1-mL aliquots of the 105000g supernatant of whole leaf homogenates of T. vulgare under conditions identical with those described above for sage enzyme. In this manner a mixture containing ca. 0.25 μ mol of *l*-bornyl benzoate and 0.75 μ mol of recovered geranyl benzoate was obtained and purified by HPLC. The purest geranyl benzoate fractions were pooled and converted to [1-18O]geranyl trimethylsilyl ether for ¹⁸O analysis. As summarized in Table II, both the recovered geraniol and the product *l*-borneol have ¹⁸O enrichments essentially unchanged from that of the [1-18O]geranyl pyrophosphate precursor.

The above experiments clearly demonstrate that during the enzymatic conversion of geranyl pyrophosphate to either d- or *l*-bornyl pyrophosphate the original pyrophosphate ester oxygen of the precursor is the exclusive source of the pyrophosphate ester oxygen of the product (Scheme II). Such tight restriction on the motion of the transiently generated inorganic pyrophosphate moiety is unexpected and has interesting implications for the mechanism illustrated in Scheme I. The observed lack of oxygen scrambling contrasts with the equilibration of the three proximal

^{(10) (}a) Croteau, R.; Karp, F. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1976, 176, 734. (b) Cramer, F.; Boehm, W. Angew. Chem. 1959, 71, 755. Cornforth, R. H.; Popjak, G. Methods Enzymol. 1969, 15, 539. (c) Dugan, R. E.; Rasson, E.; Porter, J. W. Anal. Biochem. 1968, 22, 249. (d) Michelson, A. M. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1964, 91, 1. (11) Croteau, R.; Karp, F. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1979, 198, 523.

⁽¹²⁾ Croteau, R. In "Biochemistry of Isopentenoids in Plants"; Nes, W. D.; Fuller, G.; Tsai, L. S., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, in press. (13) Prepared by reacting geranyl bromide with sodium [¹⁸O₂]acetate¹⁴ in

dimethylformamide followed by mild base hydrolysis (K₂CO₃, methanol) of the purified geranyl [1-¹⁸O,acyl-¹⁸O]acetate. (14) Hutchinson, C. R.; Makune, C. T. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm.

^{1976. 8. 571.}

⁽¹⁵⁾ Prepared from the THP-ether of geranyl trisnoraldehyde and [14Cmethyllisopropylidene triphenylphosphorane. Cf. ref 16. (16) Cane, D. E.; Iyengar, R.; Shiao, M.-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103,

⁹¹⁴

⁽¹⁷⁾ Popjak, G.; Cornforth, J. W.; Cornforth, R. H.; Ryhage, R.; Good-man, D. J. Biol. Chem. 1962, 237, 56. Kandutsch, A. A.; Paulus, H.; Levin, E.; Bloch, K. Ibid. 1964, 239, 2507. Holloway, P. W.; Popjak, G. Biochem. J. 1967, 104, 57. Epstein, W. W.; Rilling, H. C. J. Biol. Chem. 1970, 245, 4597.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Loomis, W. D.; Lile, J. D.; Sandstrom, R. P.; Burbott, A. J. Phyto-chemistry 1979, 18, 1049.

⁽¹⁹⁾ d-[G-³H]Bornyl pyrophosphate ($4.4 \times 10^6 \text{ dpm}/\mu \text{mol}$) was incubated with the crude sage enzyme under the usual conditions in [^{18}O]water (72% atom). The [3H]borneol thus liberated was extracted and converted to the benzoate as before. Analysis of the HPLC-purified material by GC-MS on an HP 9895 spectrometer at Yale University established the presence of less than 2% excess ¹⁸O. We thank Richard Weber for his assistance in obtaining these spectra.

⁽²⁰⁾ Stein, S. S.; Koshland, D. E. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1952, 39, 229. Fernley, H. N. In "The Enzymes"; 3rd ed.; Boyer, P. D., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1971; Vol. 4, p 417. Ngan, H.-L.; Popjak, G. Bioorg. Chem. 1975, 4, 166. Mackie, H.; Overton, K. H. Eur. J. Biochem. 1977, 77, 101.

pyrophosphate oxygens during the closely related enzymatic isomerization of farnesyl to nerolidyl pyrophosphate, a result that has been interpreted in terms of an allylic cation-pyrophosphate anion pair.¹⁶ While an equilibrium between geranyl and linalyl pyrophosphate may exist at the active site of bornyl pyrophosphate synthetase, the initial cyclization step involving formation of a new C-C bond is most likely irreversible. The absence of observable isotope scrambling in either d- or l-bornyl pyrophosphate implies that the cyclization step is fast compared to positional isotope exchange during the initial isomerization step, without giving any information as to the relative rates of ring closure compared to either forward or reverse isomerization.

Poulter has recently reported that [1-18O]geranyl pyrophosphate reisolated from incubations with prenyl transferase has not undergone detectible scrambling, in spite of strong evidence for the generation of allylic cations at the enzyme active site.²¹ In the bornyl pyrophosphate synthetase reaction, the observed lack of positional isotope exchange is all the more remarkable when one considers the transient generation of an α -terpinyl cationpyrophosphate anion pair in which the charge separation is at least 3 Å. Further studies that address the stereochemical implications of Scheme I are in progress, and the results will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by grants from the NSF (PCM 78-13230) and the NIH (GM 30301) to D.E.C. and from the NSF (PCM 78-19417) to R.C. The Bruker WM250 spectrometer at Brown was purchased with funds provided by the NSF and the Montedison Group of Milan.

On the Electronic Excited States of Model Chlorophyll

W. Daniel Edwards, John D. Head, and Michael C. Zerner*,[†]

The Guelph-Waterloo Centre for Graduate Work in Chemistry Department of Chemistry, University of Guelph Guelph, Ontario NIG 2W1, Canada Received May 6, 1982

The interaction of light with chlorophyll is one of the most important chemical reactions, for nearly all of life centers on photosynthesis. At the heart of this reaction is a magnesium chlorin complex, and it is the interaction of this complex with light that is the first step in photosynthesis. Since this molecular system is so widely used, it follows that the electronic structure of this molecule in its ground and excited states must possess some unique properties. In this paper we report on a preliminary investigation of the electronic structure of magnesium porphine in its ground and excited states as a first step in order to shed some light on these properties.

Methods. All calculations were of the restricted Hartree-Fock (RHF) type and were performed at the INDO level.

Closed-shell calculations were done by conventional RHF methods; open-shell calculations were performed by using an open-shell RHF procedure described elsewhere.¹

Two types of parameter schemes were used: Pople type² for geometry optimization (INDO/1), and spectroscopic type³ for spectra (INDO/S). The initial coordinates were taken from crystal X-ray data⁴ subsequently symmetrized⁵ and then optimized



Figure 1. Lowest lying potential energy curves as a function of the Mg distance from the mean plane of the four chelating nitrogen atoms of porphin. Q is the state responsible for the visible band; B, for the Soret; and TX, the two porphin-to-Mg charge-transfer states.

Table I. Transition Energies Relative to Ground State^a (cm⁻¹)

		geometry				
ban	<u>d</u>	X (0.451) ^b	G (0.848)	* (1.08)	exptl ^c	ab initio ^d
Q CT	${}^{1}E_{1}$	17424 30745	15940 19357	15524 13042	17 500 forbidden	17 100
Soret B CT	${}^{1}E_{1}^{2}$ ${}^{1}B_{1}^{2}$	31 099 34 871	28 4 1 1 21 598	27 884 16 231	24 000 forbidden	26 200

^a Singles-only CI relative to ground state at indicated geometry. ^b Numbers in parentheses are Mg distance from plane of nitrogen (Å). ^c Mg etioporphyrin (see ref 9). ^d Linearly fit to spectrum (see ref 8).

by using the INDO/1 method. The electronic spectra were calculated at the X-ray geometry and at the optimized geometry by performing selected singles-only configuration interaction calculations.

Excited states of interest were chosen from these CI calculations and calculated with the open-shell RHF formalism¹ by using both sets of parameters.

Starting coordinates for the excited-state geometry optimizations were chosen to be the optimized ground-state coordinates. All coordinates are optimized by using a gradient technique and the Murtagh-Sargent method of function optimization.⁶

Results. It is felt that the important photochemically excited state is most likely a singlet.⁷ We therefore report here the singlet spectrum of magnesium porphine at three different geometries by using singles-only CI and spectroscopic parameters. The geometries are X-ray crystal coordinates (X), optimized ground state (G), and optimized excited state (*). The spectra obtained are shown in Table I along with experimental values. Symmetry

⁽²¹⁾ Mash, E. A.; Gurria, G. M.; Poulter, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3927 and references cited therein.

[†]Address correspondence: Quantum Theory Project, University of Florida,

<sup>Gainesville, FL 32611
(1) Edwards, W. D.; M. C. Zerner, to be submitted for publication. The method is similar to that of Davidson (Davidson, E. R. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1973, 21, 565-567) but allows for the consideration of degenerate states.
(2) Pople, J. A.; Beveridge, D. L.; Dobosh, P. A., J. Chem. Phys. 1967, 27, 2022</sup>

^{47, 2026-2033.}

^{(3) (}a) Ridley, J. E.; Zerner, M. C. Theor. Chim. Acta 1973, 32, 111-134. (b) Ridley, J. E.; Zerner, M. C. Ibid. 1973, 42, 223-236.

⁽⁴⁾ Hoard, J. L.; Hamor, M. J.; Hamor, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963,

⁽⁴⁾ Hoard, J. L., Hallor, W. J., Hallor, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 45, 2334–2335.
(5) Zerner, M. C.; Gouterman, M. Theor. Chim. Acta 1966, 4, 44–63.
(6) Murtagh, B. A.; Sargent, R. W. H. Comp. J. 1970, 13, 185–194.
(7) Baum, R. M. Chem. Eng. News 1982, June 14, 21–25. Holten, D.; Hoganson, C.; Windsor, M. W.; Schenck, C. C.; Parson, W. W.; Migus, A.; Fork, R. L.; Shank, C. V. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1980, 592, 461 and references therein. erences therein.